JUDGING CRITERIA

Hackathon Team Name:

Scale: 1 = Poor, 2 = Fair, 3 = Good, 4 = Very Good, 5 = Excellent

I. Idea Formulation
   ___ Description of a practical need or problem to be solved
   ___ Idea embodies one or more aspects of CSU’s Land Grant Mission
   ___ Explanation of constraints / feasible plan for weekend work

II. Design and Methodology
   ___ Identification of a solution
   ___ Development of a prototype/model

III. Creativity and Cross-Disciplinary Focus
   ___ Project demonstrates significant creativity in one or more of the above criteria
   ___ Project demonstrates multidisciplinary engagement

IV. Presentation
   ___ Clear, concise, thoughtful delivery
   ___ Analysis of future project potential
   ___ Recognition of potential impact in education, research, artistry, and society
   ___ Contributions to and understanding of project by all members

Score: ___ / 55

Individual Nomination for Best Technical Accomplishments (optional):_____________________

Individual Nomination for Outstanding Artistry (optional):______________________________

Notes: